#### OBSERVATION STUDY INTO THE INFLUENCE OF VIEW BLOCKING OBSTRUCTION AT AN INTERSECTION ON BICYCLE AND PASSENGER CAR VELOCITY PROFILES

Olaf Op den Camp, Stefanie de Hair, Erwin de Gelder, Irene Cara

ICSC2015, Hannover, 16th September 2015



Foto: Tjerja Geerts

innovation for life



#### INTRODUCTION



Year

2015

PEDESTRIAN

X

2017

2016

2014

CAR 2 CAR

2014







TNO innovation for life



#### > Objective:

- Prepare the introduction of a protocol for consumer tests of Cyclist-AEB systems on board passenger cars.
- Propose a test setup (incl. hardware) and test protocol for Cyclist-AEB systems based on technical/scientific considerations.
- Base the tests on analysis of most relevant cyclist accident scenarios in EU countries.

#### > Timing:

- > Start : 2014 Q2
- > Finish: 2016 Q1





**TNO** innovation for life

#### **MOST COMMON CAR-TO-CYCLIST SCENARIOS**







### **OBJECTIVE OBSERVATION STUDY**

#### > Objective:

Determine the influence of the presence of a view-blocking obstruction on the behaviour of cars and bicycles when approaching a crossing

#### > Hypothesis:

Both bicyclists and car drivers reduce speed in case the view on the crossing is limited because of an obstruction (e.g. building, fouling, parked car). The more the view is limited, the larger the effect on speed reduction is expected to be.



**TNO** innovation for life

### APPROACH

- Measure cyclist and car behaviour
  - Velocity-profile as function of distance (with automotive radar)
  - > Visual behaviour (with camera)
- Locations:
  - > 2 bicycle crossings in Eindhoven area
  - Reasonable severe permanent viewblocking obstruction





### **SELECTION CRITERIA INTERSECTION**

- > Urban area
- Speed limit = 50 km/h (also 20 km/h possible)
- Severe obstruction prevents direct view on right hand sight (w.r.t. car)
- > Permanent obstruction (e.g. hedge, building)
- Cyclist have priority, however
  - No traffic control lights
  - No stop signs (for neither cyclist nor car)
  - No or only low speed bumps
- Significant traffic flow
- > No specific requirements w.r.t. road layout



<sup>\*</sup> Values: based on typical TTC and characteristic measures for road-layout

innovation





### **MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT**

- > Speed measurements by use of road-side-unit
  - > 2x Automotive radar (Continental SSR 208)

Short-range-radar, update rate 33 Hz

FoV +/-20°, range 50m

Data acquisition box

filtering\*, target tracking, data recorder, wireless communication unit

Visual behaviour by use of camera
2x Action camera's (GO PRO)







\* Based on: life time, minimum velocity, ROI



#### innovation for life



### **SELECTED LOCATIONS**



#### SON: busy bicycle crossing

- ) Obstruction: hedge
- Cyclist lane: exclusively for cyclists
- Location: crossing connects living area with busy village center
- > Priority:
  - Non-priotorized intersection
  - > Cyclist from right have right of way
  - > Cyclist give yield to traffic from right

#### **EINDHOVEN:** busy 4-armed intersection\*

- *Obstruction:* building
- Cyclist lane: for all traffic
- Location: crossing in city center
- *Priority:* 
  - Non-priotorized intersection
  - > Traffic from right has right of way
  - > Cyclist give yield to traffic from right





### **MEASUREMENT SETUP: SON**











# **RESULTS SON: BICYCLE**

Bicycle manouevre		Stopped pedaling		Continued pedaling
		Continued riding	Full stop	Continued riding
Straight	total	20	9	2
	no cars present	9		
	car from left	8	2	2
	car from right	3	7	
Turning left	total	4	6	2
	no cars present	3	1	2
	car from left		2	
	car from right		3	
	cars from both sides	1		
Turning right	total	1	0	0
	cars from both sides	1		
Total # bicycles		25	15	4

Most bicycles stopped pedalling but continued riding

Based on video-observation



**Observation study** 







Decrease of speed by bicyclists in approaching intersection

(in case view is blocked on approaching cars, even if bicyclists have priority)





# **RESULTS SON: BICYCLE**



#### cyclist low speed



V<sub>ini</sub>= 10 km/h V<sub>min</sub>= 6 km/h



#### cyclist average speed



V<sub>ini</sub>= 14 km/h V<sub>min</sub>= 8 km/h



#### cyclist high speed



V<sub>ini</sub>= 19 km/h V<sub>min</sub>= 6 km/h









- > In both situations cars reduce speed when approaching crossing.
- In obstructed case, some cars seem to overlook cyclists might appear from behind obstruction and do not reduce speed (only slightly)





### **RESULTS SON: CARS**

Cars high speed







V<sub>ini</sub>= 60 km/h V<sub>min</sub>=50 km/h



### **MEASUREMENT SETUP: EINDHOVEN**



**TNO** innovation for life





**S**0

# **RESULTS EINDHOVEN: BICYCLE**

Bicycle manouevre		Stopped pedaling		Continued pedaling	
		Continued riding	Full stop	Continued riding	
Straight	total	68	38	15	
	no cars present	25	0	4	
	car from left	17	14	5	
	car from right	14	13	6	
	cars from both sides	12	11	0	Speed press
Turning left t n c c c	total	16	6	1	Eindhoven has not been concluded yet, as it is hard to make distinction between cars and bicycles in same lane Prelim results: speed reduction cyclists is 4 km/h
	no cars present	5	0	0	
	car from left	7	0	0	
	car from right	3	3	1	
	cars from both sides	1	3	0	
Turning right	total	9	2	20	
	no cars present	2	0	10	
	car from left	4	0	3	
	car from right	2	0	6	
	cars from both sides	1	2	1	
Total # bicycles		93	46	36	

- More than 85% stopped pedalling
- > Even with no cross-traffic vast majority stops pedalling during approach
- More cyclists stop pedalling than continue pedalling



### CONCLUSIONS

#### Method and measurement device developed has served it purpose

- > Possible to measure velocity-profiles for bicycles and cars on 2 intersections
- > It is difficult to distinguish bicycles and cars automatically

#### In case of approaching an intersection with severely blocked-view > Bicyclists

- > Appear to reduce their speed: Approximately 6 km/h (Son) ~4 km/h (Eindhoven)
- Speed reduction coincides with stopping pedalling
- More than 80% of the observed bicyclists stopped pedalling
- > Obstacle prevents early anticipation on cross-traffic
- > Cars
  - Generally reduce speed
  - It is very difficult to distinguish between geometrical layout and interaction with other traffic participants
  - > Obstacle cause drivers to overlook the traffic from the behind oobstruction
  - No general conclusions can be drawn regarding the speed reduction and the presence of a view-blocking obstruction





### RECOMMENDATIONS

- Finish analysis of the observations in Eindhoven
- Perform similar study at typical intersections in Germany, to be able to generalize conclusions, by taken into account
- More than 2 locations
- Differences in culture (especially traffic rules)





### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:**









Wir leben Autos.

PSA PEUGEOT CITROËN















